

### **Connecticut Rethinks Student Arrests**

New York State Leadership Summit on School-Justice Partnerships: Keeping Kids in School and Out of Court November 6, 2013 Albany, NY



Working to stop the criminalization of Connecticut's children and youth.



- Small Staff, Big Coalition.
- Public policy advocacy to reform juvenile justice and other systems that affect Connecticut's at-risk children and youth.
- Goals: fewer children will enter the system, and the justice system will treat all children fairly and effectively.

#### **Priorities**

- Address major feeders: unaddressed behavioral and mental health, schoolbased arrests
- Ensure child's race or ethnicity does not negatively affect how s/he is treated
- Support and move agencies' jj vision, strategy, policies, implementation (special attention to older youth new to system post-Raise the Age)





# Context: Trends and Issues in Connecticut's Juvenile Justice System




## Shrinking and Expanding

- Smart investments in prevention and diversion
- Home-based interventions, a commitment to least restrictive environment
- Older youth included, average age increasing









# **Looking for JJ System Feeders:** Found School-Based Arrests

- Too many children referred to jj system from schools
- Most for misdemeanor offenses
  - **-School Policy Violations** escalate into delinquency charge or probation violation: Insubordination (swearing; dress code)
  - **–Delinquency Charges:** 
    - Disorderly conduct, breach of peace (fighting, talking back, running in halls, loud music)
    - Trespass (skateboarding, bicycles)
    - Smoking
- Children of color referred at disproportionately higher rates



Rank	Sub-Category of Incident Leading to Arrest	Total Number of Arrests	Percent of All Arrests
1	Fighting/Altercation/ Physical Aggression	672	21%
2	Drugs/Alcohol/Tobacco	598	19%
3	Physical Altercation	377	12%
4	Battery/Assault	258	8%
5	Weapons	199	6%
6	Threat/Intimidation/ Verbal Harassment	142	4%
7	Theft/Stealing	99	3%
8	Serious Disorderly Conduct	73	2%
9	Breach of Peace	52	2%
10	Insubordination/ Disrespect	51	2%
11	Threats of Bodily harm	51	2%
12	Vandalism	47	1%
13	Disorderly Conduct	45	1%
14	Disruption/Disruptive Behavior	43	1%
15	Verbal Altercation	42	1%
16	Skipping Class	41	1%
17	Obscene Language/Profanity	26	1%
18	School Threat/Bomb Threat	25	1%
19	Inciting a Fight/Riot	24	1%
20	Foreign substance (Illegal drugs)	22	1%





# CTJJA's Response: Inspiring, Educating, Building Capacity





- Educational forums: moderated panels on jj/ed connections, CPTV Education vs. Incarceration
- · Close partnership with SAG (JJAC)
- Goal of *statewide* reform though a very local issue and infrastructure demonstrate local success

# **Good News:** Replication *is* Possible

#### The process:

- SAG (JJAC): model MOA
- Fall 2010, JJAC and Alliance invited Judges Teske and Huff
- Community break-out groups: police, court, education, DCF...
  - Could their town / city achieve these kinds of results? Were they willing to work to get there?
- Summer 2011, 3 cities ready to launch collaboratives
- Fall 2011, implementation of MOA & graduated response model
- Ongoing: regular collaborative meetings to fine-tune, add and subtract initiatives, review data, discuss trends, gaps, successes, (re) train / orient school and police personnel

#### **Results: Manchester** Manchester District Overall # change % change 2011 2012 2013 2011-2013 2011-2013 694 1154 +88% In School Suspension 614 +540 Out of School Suspension 389 416 139 -250 -64% -23 -77% Expulsion 30 11 7 Arrest (PD) 137 -81 -59% Illing Middle School # change % change 2011 2012 2013 2011-2013 2011-2013 244 371 In School Suspension 207 +164 +79% Out of School Suspension 132 101 139 +7 +5% Expulsion 4 3 1 -3 -75% Arrest (PD) 12 missing missing missing data data data Manchester High School # change % change 2011 2012 2013 2011-2013 2011-2013 In School Suspension 407 450 491 +84 +21% Out of School Suspension 257 315 139 -118-46% Expulsion 26 8 4 -22 -85% Arrest (PD) 137 30 44 -93 -68%

Results: Windham					
Windham					
District Overall	2011	2012	2013	# change 2011–2013	% change 2011–2013
In School Suspension	1563	1477	905	-658	-42%
Out of School Suspension	515	532	1096	+581	+113%
Expulsion	16	18	36	+20	+125%
Arrest (Schools)	56	37	7	-49	-87%
Windham Middle School	2011	2012	2013	# change 2011-2013	% change 2011-2013
In School Suspension	825	716	382	-443	-54%
Out of School Suspension	171	263	354	+183	+107%
Expulsion	3	0	24	+21	+700%
Arrest (Schools)	8	6	0	-8	-100%
Windham High School	2011	2012	2013	# change 2011-2013	% change 2011-2013
In School Suspension	738	761	482	-256	-35%
Out of School Suspension	344	269	606	+262	+76%
Expulsion	13	18	10	-3	-23%
Arrest (Schools)	48	31	7	-41	-85%

# School-Based Arrest Statewide Data

SY2011-12	SY2012-13	% change 2012-2013
1667	1450	-13%

Source: Judicial Branch, Court Support Services Division

# Work Centered on JJAC Model MOA *Principles:*

- Most student <u>misconduct best addressed through</u> <u>classroom & in-school</u> strategies (not jj system)
- Response to school disruptions should be <u>reasonable</u>, consistent and fair
- Hold students accountable through graduated response and continuum of services
- Appropriate <u>redirection and support</u> from in-school and community resources <u>prior</u> to exclusion/arrest
- <u>Clarifying the responsibilities of school and police</u> personnel promotes best interests of students, district, law enforcement and community

#### Juvenile Justice Advisory Committee Model MOA Graduated Response Model Format

	Types of Behavior	Intervention Options
Classroom Interventions		
School Administration Interventions		
Assessment and Service Provision		
Law Enforcement Interventions		

For model and local MOAs: www.ctjja.org/forum/resources.html

#### Tailored Locally: Manchester Graduated Response Model

	Level 1 Rules Violations/Disruptive & Offensive Behaviors	Level 2 Chronic Disruptive & Serious Behaviors	Level 3 Safety Concerns	Level 4 Mandatory Referral to School Resource Officer	
Types of Behaviors	-Disruptive Behavior -Insubordination/Joefance -Insubordination/Joefance -Inappropriate Affire -Inappropriate Affire -Physicial contact/ Inoreping -Electronics Violation -Compenying Lacehor Detention -Logencying -Lacetring classroom without -Phese violation -Inappropriate displays of affection -Inappropriate displays of affection	-Chronic Level I Offences (documented) Behavior (documented) -Cross Insubordination -Abuseiv Language directed -Chronic Tardines -Cutting Office Detention -Cutting Office Detention	-Chronic Level 2 Offences -Bullying - Serious fighting/assault - Smoking - Theft - The - T	-Alcohol/Drugs -Weapon Possession - Assault Resulting in Injury - Action Resulting in Lockdown or Evacuation to Building in Deckdown of Evacuation to Building - Inciting a Riot - Multiple Level 3 Offenses - Other	
Persons Involved in Intervention	-Teacher -Parent(s)/Guardian(s) -Team leader	All Previous Persons Involved plus: -Guidance Counselor -School Social Worker -Administrator -Community Agencies	All Previous Persons Involved plus: -School Resource Officer -Student Assistant Team	ALL	
Progressive Intervention Discipline Actions	Wethal warning  **Planent contact  **Planent Contact  **Planent Teacher conference  **Planent Teacher conference  and other parties (guidance  commeller, School social worker,  **Consult team members and/or  **support saff  -**Vite of the Pler-Referral  **Planent Contact  **Alternate setting  -**Alternate setting  -**Harriant setting  -**Planent Intervention  planep* HA  **Teacher detention  ***Index of element  **Index of	All_Pecsons Level Intercention/Discipline Intercention/Discipline Intercention/Discipline Intercention Conference Intercent Active Intercent Active Intercent Conference Intercent Intercention Intercent Intercention Intercention Intercention Intercention Intercention Intercention Intercention Intercention Intercention Intercent Intercention Intercent Inter	All_Previous Level Interventions/Designing Blass Interventions/Designing Blass Interventions/Designing Blass Interventions of the Intervention of	All Previous Level interventions/Discipline in	

# **Traditional Discipline Interventions**

- Detention
- In-school suspension
- Out-of-school suspension
- Arrest
- Expulsion

# **Examples of Manchester Discipline Interventions**

- Redirection
- Mediatior
- Detention
- 1 to 1 counseling
- Mentoring program
- Play by the Rules Referral
- Behavior Intervention or Reflection Room
- · In-school suspension
- Referral to Substance Intervention Program
- Parent/Administration conference and other parties (guidance counselor, social worker, etc.)
- Referral to Restitution/Community Service Program
- Out-of-school suspension
- Arrest
- Referral for consideration for expulsion

### For (Many) More Details:

#### **Adult Decisions**

Connecticut rethinks student arrests

A publication of the Connecticut Juvenile Justice Alliance January 2013

# What Else is CT Doing?

2. Judicial Branch (CSSD) re-articulated authority to return referrals, when:

Child is 8 years old or less and/or summons is for;

- "Offense" = normal adolescent behavior
- Involves skateboarding, bicycle, loitering, simple trespass (school property)
- Possession of tobacco > 15 yo
- Siblings fighting at home, no weapons or injuries
- School fight, similar age, no injury, both arrested
- Other school incidents: wearing hat, running in halls, swearing, talking back, disruptive but no violence / property destruction / injury

#### What Else?

- 3. CSSD
- School-based arrest data collection statewide
- 4. Legislature
- Proposed legislation would require MOAs/data statewide
- **5. JJAC DMC Subcommittee**
- Model MOA and incentive grants (ctJustStart.org)
- Training and network: patrol officers & school personnel (RightResponseCT.org)
- Right Response Network now has 16 communities that are all implementing MOAs and working together to share ideas, attend trainings, and cross pollinate learnings
- 6. SBDI
- · School-deep, mental health focus, EMPS usage
- **7. SDE**
- Positive school climate movement

## CT's Comprehensive Approach: Why it is Working

- Addresses school-based arrest from multiple perspectives and systems
- Engages key leaders and wide variety of stakeholders
- Timing is everything!
  - National dialogue and movement
  - "Raise the Age" success paved way for next steps
  - Community-level data and confirmation

### Adult Decisions: Rethinking **Student Arrests Action Steps**

- problem
- 2. Find out what's happening in your community
- 3. If the data not available, 10. Share your story ask why
- 4. Make it clear you care
- 5. Broad coalition of stakeholders
- 6. Students, families, teachers have a voice

- 1. Educate yourself about 7. Partners create MOA clarifying police role in school discipline
  - 8. Make good use of existing resources
  - 9. Keep collecting data

  - 11. Signing MOA is just the first step
  - 12. Respond to students with mental health needs



www.ctjja.org

Abby Anderson, Executive Director (abby@ctjja.org) Lara Herscovitch, Deputy Director (lara@ctjja.org) Mallory LaPierre, Policy Associate (mallory@ctjja.org)